SCREENING AND DETERMINATION OF KINETIC PARAMETERS OF AROMATASE INHIBITORS USING HUMAN GENITAL SKIN FIBROBLASTS

B.A.J. EVANS^{1*}, S.C. STILLMAN¹, I.A. HUGHES^{1#}, P.J. NICHOLLS² and H.J. SMITH²

¹Department of Child Health, University of Wales College of Medicine, Heath Park, Cardiff, S Wales, CF4 4XN, U.K. ²Welsh School of Pharmacy, UWCC, Cathays Park, Cardiff, S Wales, U.K.

(Received 5 April 1993)

The screening of aromatase inhibitors using human genital skin fibroblasts (GSF) in culture is described. Using this system the selectivity in detecting inhibition compares well with that for human full-term placenta as a source of enzyme. IC_{50} and K_i values may be determined using GSF and the ranking of a group of known inhibitors tested compares with that using placental enzyme. The advantages of this method are that the tissue is readily available and the long term freezing of viable cells allows a ready supply of material for repeated experiments.

KEY WORDS: Aromatase inhibitors, placental aromatase, genital skin fibroblasts, aromatase screening.

INTRODUCTION

Oestrogens are considered to stimulate breast cancer growth¹. In postmenopausal women with breast cancer, removal of the tumour mass is followed by radiotherapy and anti-endocrine chemotherapy to prevent further tumour growth in the breast and metastases. The anti-oestrogen tamoxifen and aromatase inhibitors are used in this connection².

Aromatase inhibitors are commonly screened *in vitro* using full-term human placental tissue or rat ovarian tissue. Aromatase activity is measured by the release of ${}^{3}\text{H}_{2}\text{O}$ for both tissues using either $[1\beta, 2\beta {}^{3}\text{H}]$ -androstenedione (or testosterone) or $[1\beta {}^{-3}\text{H}]$ -androstenedione as substrate for placenta and $[1\beta {}^{-3}\text{H}]$ -androstenedione as substrate for rat ovary³.

Human genital skin fibroblasts (GSF) in culture express a wide range of enzymes including aromatase^{4,5}. There are distinct advantages in using such a cell culture system to study enzyme inhibition. Skin tissue is readily accessible for sampling in humans and establishes well in primary culture. The environment (ie culture medium) of the cells



^{*}Correspondence

[#] Present address – Department of Paediatrics, University of Cambridge Clinical School, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, CB2 2QQ, U.K.

can be changed under controlled conditions and the effects measured. Furthermore the efficient long term storage of viable cells frozen at -70° C allows a ready supply of cell strains for repeated experiments. We describe its use as a means to screen aromatase inhibitors, amenable to large scale studies. A range of known inhibitors of aromatase and some chemically-related but inactive compounds, previously screened using placental tissue, have been examined to confirm the sensitivity and accuracy of the GSF system for determining inhibitory potency.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

 $[1\beta$ -³H]-Androstenedione (25–30Ci/mmol) was obtained from Dupont (UK) Ltd., Stevenage, Herts. ³H₂O (90 μ Ci/mmol) was purchased from Amersham International plc, Bucks. All other materials were obtained as previously described^{5,6}.

Aminoglutethimide (AG), glutethimide, nitroglutethimide, 4-hydroxyandrostenedione and CGS16949A (fadrozole hydrochloride) were gifts from Ciba-Geigy, Basle. R76713 and rogletimide (E) were gifts from Janssen Research Foundation, Beerse, Belgium and Professor M. Jarman, the Institute for Cancer Research, Sutton respectively. Econazole and miconazole were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. Ltd., Poole, Dorset. Most of the compounds have been synthesized in our laboratories and reported elsewhere: A, B, C, D, WSP-1 and WSP-3^{1,7}; F and G⁸; H⁹; K¹⁰; Nacetylaminoglutethimide¹¹.

Cell Culture

Normal genital skin fibroblasts (GSF) were established in culture using primary skin explants of foreskin samples established at the time of circumcision in prepubertal children. GSF were serially cultured from these explants as previously described⁵. All experiments were performed between cell passage numbers 5 and 20. Prior to assay, cells were seeded into 25cm^2 flasks (approximately 1×10^6 cells per flask) and kept in culture until very confluent (approximately two weeks) before assay in order to maximise aromatase activity.

Aromatase Assay

GSF Cultures

Confluent monolayers of GSF in 25 cm² flasks were preincubated (37°C; 72h) with 2 ml of culture medium containing 10% (v/v) newborn calf serum. The cells were then washed with 2 ml phosphate buffered saline and incubated with 2 ml of serum free medium⁶ at pH7 containing [1 β -³H]-androstenedione and 5 μ M progesterone with or without inhibitor as described in the figure legends. Following incubation (37°C; 4h) aromatase activity was quantitated by the ³H₂O release method as previously described^{5,12}. Aromatase activity was expressed as fmol/mg protein/h and all results are presented as the mean of duplicate flasks of cells. The coefficient of variation for each point presented varied by less than 10%. All experiments were repeated with comparable results. Blank values were established in the absence of cells.

RIGHTSLINK()

SCREENING OF AROMATASE INHIBITORS

Compound	%	% Inhibition		
	Fibroblasts	Placental Enzyme		
A C	3	0		
0 N)			

0

43

50

TABLE I al

WSP-3

С

В



NH1

~ н ∿₀

NH2

51

3

- 4 , сн, 0

50





Compound	% Inhibition	
	Fibroblasts	Placental Enzyme
WSP-1 NH ₂ CH ₃ CH ₃	53	73
D NH2 CH3 O CH3	32	34
Gluthethimide Gluthe	0	5
Nitroglutethimide \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow	0	4

206

RIGHTSLINK()





Compound	% Inhibition	
	Fibroblasts	Placental Enzyme
G NH2 CH	2	0
H NH3	3	20
J $NH_3^* COO^-$ CH = CH - COOH $CH = CH_2 - COOC_2H_3$ $COOC_2H_3$	70	63
	0	2

*Inhibitor concentration = 5μ M; and rost endione = 25nM.

208

RIGHTSLINK()



FIGURE 1 Determination of IC₅₀ for WSP-1. GSF were incubated at 37°C for 4h with $[1\beta^{-3}H]$ -androstenedione (25nM), progesterone (5µM) and WSP-1 (0–20µM). Aromatase activity was quantitated by the ³H₂O release method.

Placental enzyme

The activity of human term placental enzyme¹³ was determined by measurement of ${}^{3}\text{H}_{2}\text{O}$ released from $[1\beta, 2\beta {}^{3}\text{H}]$ -androstenedione by the general method of Graves and Salhanick¹⁴.

Determination of Inhibitory Activity and Potency

Normal GSF were incubated at 37°C for 4h with $[1\beta^{-3}H]$ -androstendione (25 nM) and progesterone (5µM) in the presence and absence of inhibitor (5µM). The results are presented as percentage inhibition of the control value in Table I.

The IC₅₀ values were determined for some of the inhibitors from a plot of aromatase activity $vs \log$ [Inhibitor] (Figure 1 and Table II).

The K_i values for the potent inhibitors R76713 (0.75nM) and CGS16949A (0.5nM) were determined from an Eadie-Hofstee Plot (Figure 2 and Table II) using $[1\beta^{-3}H]$ -androstenedione (3–50nM) as substrate. The K_i values for several other inhibitors were determined in a similar manner (Table II).



FIGURE 2 Eadie-Hofstee plot for the determination of K_i values for; (a) R76713 (0.75nM), (b) CGS 16949A (0.5nM) in GSF using $[1\beta$ -³H]-androstenedione (3–50 nM) as substrate. Aromatase activity was quantitated by the ³H₂O release method. Substrate alone (•); Substrate + inhibitor (°).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Using cultured human GSF as a source of aromatase we have found that a range of inhibitors of average to high potency give similar results to those previously obtained using human placental tissue (Table I). The only exception is rogletimide (compound E) which is a weak inhibitor using androstenedione as substrate (cf testosterone as substrate where it approaches AG in potency). Furthermore a range of compounds of low potency or lacking potency were similarly valued. Further examination of selected inhibitors showed that the system provided good plots of (aromatase activity) vs log [Inhibitors] for determination of IC₅₀ values and (aromatase activity) vs (aromatase activity)/[substrate] for determination of K_i values. The values obtained were lower than those previously recorded using placental enzyme but showed a similar potency ranking for a group of inhibitors (Table II).

RIGHTSLINKA)

	$IC_{50}^{*}(\mu M)$	$K_i(nM)$
WSP-1	2 (10) ¹	1013
WSP-3	3 (10)1	N.D.
AG	4 (8) ¹	279 (6,500)4
4-OHA	$0.001 (0.4)^2$	0.27 (20) ³
Econazole	0.005 (.06)3	2 (20) ³
Miconazole	0.2 (0.6)7	35 (55)7
R76713	ND	$0.1 (1.3)^5$
CGS16949A	ND	0.1 (0.79)6

TABLE II IC₅₀ and K₅ values for aromatase inhibitors using human GSF

*Androstenedione = 25nM. ND = not determined.

Values in parentheses are reported values for placental enzymes.

¹Daly, M.J., Jones, G.W., Nicholls, P.J., Smith, H.J., Rowlands, M.G and Bunnett, M.A. (1986). *J. Med. Chem.*, **29**, 520–523.

²Di Salle, E., Giudici, D., Ornati, G., Briatico, G., D'Alessio, R., Villa, V. and Lombardi, P. (1990). *J. Steroid Biochem. Molec. Biol.*, **37**, 364–374.

³J.O. Johnston and B.W. Metcalfe (1984) In Novel Approaches to Cancer Chemotherapy (P. Sunkara) p. 307–328. Academic Press, N.Y.

⁴Whomsley, R., Smith, H.J., Nicholls, P.J., Nazareth, W. and Ahmadi, M. (1992). *J. Enz. Inhib.*, **6**, 317–330.

⁵Vanden Bossche, H.V., Willemsens, G., Roels, I., Bellens, D., Moereels, H., Coeme, M-C., Le Jeune, L., Lauwens, W. and Janssen, P.A.J. (1990). *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, **40**, 1707–1718.

⁶Purba, H.S. and Bhatnagar, H.S. (1990). J. Enz. Inhib., 4, 169-178.

⁷Mason, J.I., Murry, B.A., Olcott, M. and Sheets, J.J. (1985). *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, **34**, 1087–1092.

In summary, the use of GSF provides a new, acceptable system for screening and determining the kinetic parameters of aromatase inhibitors. The long term freezing of viable cells allows a ready supply of material for repeated experiments.

References

- Daly, M.J., Jones, G.W., Nicholls, P.J., Smith, H.J., Rowlands, M.G. and Burnett, M.A. (1986). J. Med. Chem., 29, 520–523.
- 2. Santen, R.J. (1990) J. Enz. Inhib., 4, 79-99.
- 3. Rowlands, M.G., Davies, J.H., Shearer, R.J., and Dowsett, M. (1991). J. Enz. Inhib., 4, 307-313.
- 4. Schweikert, H.U., Milewich, L. and Wilson, J.D. (1976). J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab., 43, 785-795.
- 5. Stillman, S.C., Evans, B.A.J. and Hughes, I.A. (1990). J. Endocrinol., 127, 177-183.
- 6. Evans, B.A.J., Jones, T.R. and Hughes, I.A. (1984). Clin. Endocrinol., 20, 93-105.
- 7. Scoular, I.T., Nicholls, P.J. and Smith, H.J. (1976). Eur. J. Med. Chem., 11, 91.
- 8. Jones, G. (1986) Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wales.



B.A.J. EVANS et al

- 9. Banting, L., Smith, H.J., James, M., Jones, G., Nazareth, W., Nicholls, P.J., Hewilns, M.J.E. and Rowlands, M.G. (1988). J. Enz. Inhib., 2, 215-229.
- 10. Daly, M.J. (1986) Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wales.
- 11. Douglas, J.S. and Nicholls, P.J. (1972). J. Pharm. Pharmacol., 24 (Suppl.), 150.
- 12. Berkovitz, G.D., Fujimoto, M., Brown, T.R., Brodie, A. and Migeon, C.J. (1984). J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab., 59, 665–671.
- 13. Thompson, E.A. and Siiteri, P.K. (1974). J. Biol. Chem., 249, 5364.
- 14. Graves, P.E. and Salhanick, H.A. (1979). Endocrinology, 105, 52-57.



